

## **Canada intervened twice during the meeting of MC I of the morning of 17 August 2022 (Thomas Fetz)**

### First Intervention

Thank you, Mr. Chair. We are grateful to you and your team for circulating this revised version of the report.

We agree with Germany, France, Mexico and other delegations that it may be helpful to merge the texts of SB I and MC I to avoid duplication and facilitate our deliberations

### **Regarding paragraph 8**

Like other delegations we believe that the language on gender should be improved. We would like to see states parties acknowledge the relevance of a gender perspective in the implementation of the treaty. Of course, we look forward to seeing how gender language will be dealt if and when the reports of MC I and SB I are merged.

### Second Intervention

Following the example by other delegations in keeping the number of interventions limited, we would like to note our positions on the remaining paragraphs, if you permit. I promise to be brief.

### **Regarding paragraph 25**

We support the proposal made by Germany.

---

### **Regarding paragraphs OPs 36-38 on FMCT**

We continue to believe that language should be added on the importance of States Parties adopting and upholding moratoria on the production of fissile material.

We support the proposal made by Japan in this issue, which they will submit in writing.

### **Regarding paragraph 50**

Canada supports the strengthening of language on civil society participation. It is our belief that there has not been enough of that and when the texts of MC I and SB I are merged, we would like to see a commitment to improve the participation of civil society and affected communities during the next Review cycle.

### **Regarding paragraph 51**

Canada welcomes the addition of language proposed by Japan in OP51, underscoring the importance of disarmament and non-proliferation education.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman